University of Southern California Law School

Legal Studies Working Paper Series

Year 2010 *Paper* 56

Comparative Studies of Law, Slavery and Race in the Americas

Alejandro de la Fuente* Ariela J. Gross[†]

This working paper is hosted by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress) and may not be commercially reproduced without the permission of the copyright holder.

http://law.bepress.com/usclwps-lss/art56

Copyright ©2010 by the authors.

^{*}University of Pittsburgh History Department, delafuente_a@yahoo.com

[†]University of Southern California, agross@law.usc.edu

Comparative Studies of Law, Slavery and Race in the Americas

Alejandro de la Fuente and Ariela J. Gross

Abstract

This critical essay surveys the historical research comparing U.S. and Latin American law and slavery. An earlier generation of comparative work on race and slavery, by Frank Tannenbaum and others, drew heavily on law to draw sharp contrasts between U.S. and Latin American slavery, emphasizing the relative harshness of U.S. slave law. Revisionist social historians criticized Tannenbaum for providing a misleading top-down history based on metropolitan codes, and pointed to demographic and economic factors to explain variations in slavery regimes. More recently, legal historians have begun to explore law "from the bottom up" – slaves' claims in court, trial-level adjudications, and interactions among ordinary people and low-level government officials. While most studies stay within one national context, some scholars have begun to look at slavery and freedom in the transnational context of the Atlantic world, and others have attempted comparisons of manumission in localities across legal regimes.

Comparative Studies of Law, Slavery and Race in the Americas

Alejandro de la Fuente, University of Pittsburgh History Department, delafuente_a@yahoo.com

Ariela Gross, University of Southern California Gould School of Law, agross@law.usc.edu



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
The Influence of Frank Tannenbaum	4
New approaches to the legal history of slavery	
Marriage and Family	11
Emancipation and Manumission	14
Atlantic Crossings: Micro-Histories in a Transnational Context	
Hybridities and Legal Transplants	20
Racial identity and classification	22
Conclusion	24

Keywords: Legal History, Culture, Property, Marriage, Manumission

Abstract

This critical essay surveys the historical research comparing U.S. and Latin

American law and slavery and how it informed the development of legal studies

concerning slavery in the Americas. An earlier generation of comparative work on race
and slaverydrew heavily on law to draw sharp contrasts between U.S. and Latin

American slavery. Revisionist social historians criticized these scholars for providing a
misleading top-down history based on metropolitan codes, and pointed to demographic
and economic factors to explain variations in slavery regimes. However, social historians
who sought to study relationships of power in slaves' lives found that they had to reckon
with law and legal institutions. More recently, legal historians have begun to explore law
"from the bottom up" through slaves' claims in court, trial-level adjudications, and
interactions among ordinary people and low-level government officials. While most
studies stay within one national context, some scholars have begun to look at slavery and
freedom in the transnational context of the Atlantic world, and others have attempted
comparisons of manumission in localities across legal regimes.

Introduction

In the preface to his well-known 1789 royal instruction on the treatment and labor of slaves, King Carlos IV acknowledged that the legal corpus concerning colonial slavery was so vast that it had become impossible for owners and officials to know it. In addition to the traditional codes of the Kingdom of Castile such as the Siete Partidas, the sources of slave law in the colonies included a multitude of cédulas issued by the monarchs since the sixteenth century, some of which had made it into the 1680 Recopilación de Leyes de los Reinos de las Indias. In addition, there were hundreds of "ordenanzas" or police regulations issued by courts such as the Audiencias, by royal representatives such as the viceroys and governors, and by town councils across the colonies. Some of these ordenanzas had been brought to the attention of the Council of Indies and received royal approval, thus acquiring the full status of imperial law. Under these conditions, the king acknowledged, it was virtually impossible for his slave-owning subjects to comply with the law concerning slaves (Real Cédula of 31 May 1789, in Fernando Ortiz, *Los negros esclavos* (Havana: Editorial de Ciencias Sociales, 1975), 408-15).

A previous generation of scholars studied some of these regulations, particularly Castilian law, the real cédulas contained in the Recopilación, and similar rules issued by the monarchs. These scholars operated under the assumption that such laws constituted a valuable proxy to understand colonial social realities and slaves' lives in the colonies. They conceived of the colonial legal system as a collection of rules, emanated from above, that reflected the moral and ethical concerns of Iberian legislators and theologians, on the one hand, while creating limited rights and protections for slaves on the ground, on

the other hand. Frank Tannenbaum summarized this view in his influential comparative study of law and slavery in the New World: "Spanish law, custom, and tradition were transferred to America and came to govern the position of the Negro slave" (Tannenbaum 1946, 52-53).

Yet this earlier generation of comparative scholars, informed by broad assumptions about the operation of law, left untouched a much greater archive of materials related to law "on the ground," whether the regulations imposed by local authorities, the interactions of ordinary individuals and local officials, disputes among slaveholders, or claims made by slaves in local fora. After decades during which slavery scholars turned away from broad comparative legal histories like that of Tannenbaum towards fine-grained local studies, there is a renewed interest in comparative and transnational approaches to the history of law, race and slavery in the Americas. This new work explores the interaction of local law and local cultures on the ground. Yet our survey of recent approaches to comparative studies must begin with a brief sketch of an earlier generation of historiography, because it is striking to what degree studies of forty and fifty years ago are still setting the terms of research, even as debate has moved on from those studies in other respects.

The Influence of Frank Tannenbaum

In his landmark work *Slave and Citizen*, Frank Tannenbaum advanced some of the topics that informed the development of legal studies of slavery for decades. First of all was the issue of the legal standing, or "personality" of the slave. According to Tannenbaum and his followers, Spanish law "never forgot the personality of the Negro."

The slave in a Spanish colony was "a legal person" and as such was entitled to "rights over which his master ha[d] absolutely no power" (Klein 1967, 38). In the United States, by contrast, "whatever right of personality" the slave may have retained was systematically eliminated by slaveholding legislators (Elkins, 1959, 42). But other scholars disagreed, noting that there were numerous instances in Iberian and Roman laws in which slaves were treated as res se moventes, objects of property which were basically indistinguishable from chattel. "The assimilation of ownership in slaves to property was comparable to that in the United States," a scholar critical of Tannenbaum argued. Others explained that the tension between slaves as property and human beings was intrinsic to all slave regimes, regardless of legal foundations, and that the legal status of slaves in British America was more contradictory and ambiguous than Tannenbaum and his followers suggested (Sio 1965, Davis 1966). Among U.S. scholars, the "contradiction" or "dichotomy" of the slave's character as person and as property became a defining principle in legal scholarship on slavery, with many scholars concluding that unlike in Latin America, slaves in the U.S. "had the character of persons in criminal cases and that of property all the rest of the time" (Gross 2000).

Equally contentious was the question of legal cultures and their transmission to the New World. Tannenbaum and his followers assumed that the legal culture and codes of Castile "were transferred to America" (Tannenbaum 1946, 52; Klein 1967, 39, 59). Other scholars, however, noted that it was necessary to take other economic and institutional factors into account. Key among these was the issue of who had the power to legislate slavery, whether local slave owners or distant metropolitan bodies. Also crucial was the type of economic activity in which slaves were engaged. In the plantation zones,

metropolitan regulations and legal traditions were usually sacrificed to profits (Mintz 1984, 71). Scholars of British America, searching for antecedents in the common law, concluded that there was no basis for legal slavery in the law of villeinage or other bodies of English law (Morris 1988, Morris 1996). Scholars of law and slavery in the U.S., therefore, concentrated for decades primarily on the antebellum era and on the law that emanated out of U.S. Southern courts and legislatures (Stampp 1965, Genovese 1974, Tushnet 1981).

The most controversial question raised by Tannenbaum's influential work was that of law enforcement. Tannenbaum's critics pointed out that he assumed a close connection between legal codes and social realities. Revisionists of the 1970s and 1980s, proponents of a "new social history," argued that there was a large gap between codes and slaves' lives on the ground (Eder 1976, 603; Rankin 1979, 8). This gap was particularly wide in those regions which became fully incorporated into world markets and produced under the logic of capitalist profits. "As soon as Latin American slavery was oriented towards production for the world market, it assumed the form of chattel slavery," historian Eric Williams asserted in the mid-1960s. Differences between Latin and British America, in consequence, were, "contrary to Tannenbaum... economic, not moral" (Williams 1966, 127). What came to govern the lives of slaves in the capitalist plantations of Spanish America was not Spanish law, the codes of Castile, or ancient traditions concerning the proper use of slaves, but the cold logic of production costs and the drive for profits (Harris 1964). In the plantations, some of the best case studies showed, slaves were reduced to the condition of "men-machinery," factors of production that could be replaced at will if it made economic sense for the owners (Moreno Fraginals 1978). Thus, to scholars of "material conditions," law was at best a reflection of social realities or an imperfect compromise reached by competing institutional powers such as the colonial government, the Church, and the planter class (Tushnet 1981, 27-28).

Ironically, however, this "reflex model" of law and society, in which law was believed to reflect social forces, presaged a growing gulf between legal history and social history in the 1970s and 1980s. Social and cultural historians focused on the material aspects of slaves' lives and emphasized that on the plantation, the master was the law. Students of U.S. Southern culture also treated justice as primarily an extra-legal matter. "On the 'law' side of this divide, slavery was primarily a problem of intellectual history and moral philosophy. Historians and constitutional scholars were reading the published opinions of Southern high courts, as well as the laws enacted by Southern legislatures, for evidence of Southern whites' ideology—their beliefs and fears about the women and men they held in bondage—and for insights into judging and the ethical basis of the common law" (Gross 2001, 642; Ayers 1984; Cover 1975; Fehrenbacher 1981; Kolchin 1993; Wyatt-Brown 1982).

To be sure, there were exceptions, even in the 1970s. The best Marxist historiography began to question dogmatic distinctions between culture and material conditions and to note, as E. P. Thompson did, that value systems and modes of production were inextricably linked. Eugene Genovese urged attention to law as an instrument of cultural hegemony (Genovese 1976). New conceptions of power as permeating personal interactions at all levels of society rejected the notion that power should be seen as just repression or as a consequence of legislation. Critical legal scholars who came out of the Marxist tradition also began to criticize the reflex model with the claim that the legal

system enjoyed a "relative autonomy" and should not be seen as a fixed set of principles and rights (Tushnet 1981, 27-29). Furthermore, slave law was characterized, precisely, by the failure to develop "rigid categories" due to the "intractability of the social reality with which the law dealt," that is, how to assimilate human beings into property (Tushnet 1981, 37-40; Genovese 1981). *New approaches to the legal history of slavery*

These critiques paved the way for a return to the study of slavery and the law, but the influence of social history led to a new emphasis on local sources, and new approaches that emphasized the aspirations and initiatives of slaves themselves. The new legal studies of slavery retreated from the big comparisons of Tannenbaum's generation into empirically-richer, locally-grounded studies which analyzed slave law in action through the study of concrete legal cases. A very early example of one of these studies was Norman Meiklejohn's "The Implementation of Slave Legislation in Eighteenth-Century New Granada" (Meiklejohn 1974). Meiklejohn called for geographical and temporal specificity in comparing the harshness of slavery regimes, including local economic circumstances. It was clearly not the same to study an area of plantation agriculture or one characterized, as was the case of New Granada, as a "weak and slowly developing economy." As for legislation, it was not enough to consider only the relatively protective rules of the Siete Partidas; it was necessary to incorporate colonial legislation as well, which was frequently "quite restrictive." A central concern of Meiklejohn's study was the issue of implementation, which was assessed with the use of juridical records. The study of concrete legal cases, in turn, introduced new actors and problems to legal studies. Much to their surprise, scholars such as Meiklejohn encountered a group of legal mediators, such as attorneys and magistrates, who

represented slaves and did so in a competent fashion. Legislation was clearly not "a dead letter," including traditional Spanish codes such as the Siete Partidas, which were invoked by attorneys and magistrates "in an impressive number of cases."

A few such studies in the 1970s and 1980s led to a cascade of new research in the 1990s. Legal historians increasingly brought social actors—slaves, masters, legal mediators, judicial authorities—into sharper relief in the same ways that social historians had attempted to understand how slaves and other subordinate groups experienced, negotiated, and resisted the oppressive structures in which they lived. These legal historians turned to trial records as one of the precious few sources where members of the lowest social groups appeared as individuals with spiritual or political lives. Furthermore, social historians who had not been trained to study law but who wanted to understand relationships of power in slaves' lives, and the role of the state in those relationships, found that they had to reckon with law and legal institutions (Bowser 1974; Genovese 1976, Knight 1970). After the mid-1980s, a new generation of social historians began to pay increasing attention to processes of state formation and to the construction of legal regimes, as part of an effort to "bring the state back in" to social history (Evans et al. 1985; Skocpol 1979). Even if reconstructing the multilayered legal system created by the Iberians in their colonies, or by legislatures in the southern states was not one of their goals, the best social history of slavery in the Americas acknowledged that there were several key areas of slaves' lives that could not be understood without reference to the law (Andrews 1980; Schwartz 1985; Russell-Wood 1982; Oakes 1990; Johnson 1999; Edwards 1999; Brown 1996). Included among these areas were manumission, marriage and family formation, and the perennial questions of

physical mistreatment and abuse—issues that comparative legal scholars á la

Tannenbaum had identified as critically different in "Latin" and "Anglo Saxon" America.

Building on these trends, historians have returned to the study of the law with a vengeance since the 1990s, including the study of slave law. There has been a veritable boom of legal historical studies concerning slavery across the Americas during the last decade. This scholarship has gone back to some of the old problems, such as manumission, marriage, or the legal personality of the slaves, but it has also delved into new subjects, such as the social construction of legally-defined racial labels and barriers, the legal conflicts prompted by the movement of masters and slaves among Atlantic societies, state efforts to regulate children and family formation, and the impact of gender on legal institutions such as manumission. This work has advanced enough that it is beginning to produce new comparative studies, although none with the sweeping scope of Tannenbaum.

The change in approach could be represented as a shift from the study of slave legal "systems," to an emphasis on slaves as social actors who used legal claims as part of a larger repertoire of initiatives and strategies. Scholars of Latin America have studied how legal suits took advantage of cracks in the normative system. Slaves tried to turn some of the potentially favorable principles contained in the Spanish codes into personal rights. Each claim created a precedent that made subsequent claims more likely, creating in the process "a corpus of case law" (Bryant 2004, 21). In the United States, where slaves' access to the courts was more limited, scholars have approached the law of slavery from the perspective of other actors in the system, for example studies of

commerce and the marketplace that examine disputes among slaveholders and other whites with interests in slaves for an understanding of the way white men's relationships played out through and on the bodies of slaves (Gross 2000). And some scholars have begun to place slaves and masters in the context of a wider web of relations of dependency in households that included patriarchs, wives, children, slaves, and other servants (Edwards 2007a, 2007b, 1999). Finally, particularly in the U.S., scholars of comparative literature and cultural studies have also taken up the study of law and slavery, bringing a more cultural than social-historical perspective to slavery studies of the U.S. South. These scholars use ex-slave autobiographical narratives, WPA interviews with former slaves, and literary sources to illuminate slaves' understandings of the role of law in their lives (Suggs 2000, DeLombard 2007).

Marriage and Family

One area in which a great deal more research has been done on the Latin

American side than the U.S. is on the intersection between law and family life. This may be accounted for in part by the prominence of the Catholic Church in Spanish America, with its traditions of record-keeping and its sanction of slave marriage. Scholars of Latin American slavery have used local records to explore cases in which slaves went to court—that is, when they went public—to accuse slaveholders of sexual transgressions or to complain against masters who did not allow their slaves to live Christian lives. By appealing to ecclesiastic and civil authorities, slaves not only challenged the authority of the masters, but also managed to inscribe in the public sphere embarrassing stories about them and their families (Johnson 2007, 635-36, 645). Slaves learned that, as Christian

subjects, they could invoke the sanctity of the sacraments to demand cohabitation with a spouse and make claims on behalf of their children (Bennett 2003; Townsend 1998; Cowling 2005, Bryant 2004). Some scholars have begun to study how authorities and the courts dealt with the peculiar situation of slave children, who were subject to multiple and conflicting sources of authority (Premo 2005). Others have noted that slave males, usually disadvantaged when it came to manumissions, sought freedom for their descendants by marrying legally free women (Lokken 2001).

It is generally understood that slaves in a variety of circumstances and in diverse Spanish colonial territories and in Brazil found ways to invoke and exercise their right to marry. The burgeoning literature on slave families in Brazil, which has developed in conversation with similar literature in the United States, has established that slave marriages were not uncommon in all regions of Brazil (Bergad 2007, 165-77). The same, however, cannot be said of other slave societies in Latin America, particularly Cuba, where studies of slave families remain seriously limited (Morrison 2007, Franklin 2006, Barcia 2003). In any case, the debates that surrounded the question of slave marriage in the British West Indies in the early nineteenth century were unnecessary in the Iberian colonies. Issues such as the legality of slave marriages or the legal consequences of slaves' marrying free people had been settled by the courts and ecclesiastic authorities of Iberian America for centuries (Green 2007).

This does not mean, however, that there were no changes in the legal regime of marriages in Spanish America. Although the Church had traditionally enforced the free will of the future spouses as a central principle in canonic law, in the eighteenth century the Crown attempted to assert greater control over marriages by giving parents and other

family members the right to object to unions that were deemed socially unequal. The new legal regime provoked much litigation around issues of status, honor, race, and legitimacy, and has received significant attention from scholars (Martinez-Alier 1974; Twinam 1999; Seed 1988). In many cases, disputes revolved around questions of lineage and centered on the race/caste of the individuals involved and their *limpieza de sangre*. Such disputes relied on a multitude of state and church records that "produced and reproduced categories of identity based on ancestry linked to particular legal statuses (to certain responsibilities, rights, or privileges)" (Martinez 2008, 6). Historians have also begun to study how ordinary people, including Africans and their descendants, used all sorts of legal documents and procedures to claim identities, negotiate and in some cases reject labels of race and status (Cope 1994; Lewis 2003; Twinam 2005; Graubart 2009.

By contrast, in colonial British America and in the United States, slaves had no legal right to marry. While courts occasionally recognized slaves' informal marriage in various ways – for example, acknowledging a slave husband's fury at his wife's lover to reduce a homicide from murder to manslaughter – these moments are notable as exceptions to the rule. Legal studies of slavery and the family are much sparser on the U.S. side, while social histories of the slave family have burgeoned. Margaret Burnham's article of twenty years ago, "Slave Law and Family Law," remains a landmark piece (Burnham 1987). Other historians interested in the way law shaped the families of the enslaved have drawn on post-Civil War sources, such as the claims of slaves' widows for pensions from the Union Army, to illuminate relationships under slavery. Anthony Kaye, in *Joining Places: Slave Neighborhoods in the Old South*, uses these sources to demonstrate the various forms of informal law and sanction that

distinguished marriage among slaves from "taking up" or "sweethearting" or living together (Kaye 2007). Dylan Penningroth, in *The Claims of Kinfolk: African American Property and Community in the Nineteenth-Century South*, uses the records of the U.S. Claims Commission to cast light on the ways freed people, in the immediate aftermath of slavery, used the courts to make claims on family relationships (Penningroth 2002). But most of the cutting-edge research on African American families focuses on this period after the Civil War, when ex-slaves had the opportunity for the first time to legalize their marriages (Edwards 1997, Franke 1999).

Emancipation and Manumission

One area where slaves' actions clearly helped to shape evolving legal regimes in Latin America was emancipation. Most of the independent republics in Latin America had abolished slavery by the mid-nineteenth century. By 1870, slavery was legal only in Brazil and in the colonial territories of Cuba and Puerto Rico. Slaves used the independence wars to push for concessions from the contending parties, frequently demanding freedom in exchange for military support. Although slave owners throughout the region resisted efforts to equate political independence with emancipation and legal equality, in most countries they were forced to pass legislation resulting in the gradual abolition of slavery. Between 1811 and 1831, Free Womb Laws were approved in Chile, Argentina, Colombia, Ecuador, Uruguay, Peru, Venezuela, and Bolivia (Andrews 2004, 55-84; Blanchard 2008). These laws were remarkably similar: they granted formal freedom to the children of slave mothers and decreed that the nominally free were to remain under the tutelage of slave owners for a given number of years. Legislators in

each of the republics surely knew about similar statutes elsewhere, although reconstructing the process by which these ideas travelled from one place to another requires additional research.

Recent scholarship has approached the study of emancipation through the actions of the slaves themselves, rather than through the letter of the legal texts. As numerous studies have documented, slaves did not wait for potentially beneficial laws to be implemented from above. They used traditional and new legal means to expedite the process and obtain their freedom and that of their children (Aguirre 1993, Hünefeldt 1994, Andrews 1980, Blanchard 2008, Sanders 2004, Lasso 2007, Ferrer 1999, Cowling 2005, Townsend 1998). As Rebecca Scott explained in her pioneer study about slave emancipation in Cuba, published in 1985, although gradual laws of emancipation did not actually free those they declared to be free, they did create "a lever" or "a set of weapons" that slaves could use to challenge the authority of the masters. Furthermore, these laws brought slaves into the "legal culture": it was through legal means and proceedings that many of the social conflicts surrounding emancipation were channeled (Scott 1985, 73, 141, 280). Less is known about how slaves who partook in these legal cultures interacted with the law and with the courts after emancipation, although some work has been done on that period (González 2001, Rios & Mattos 2005, de Cruz 2006, Scott 2001, 2005, Scott & Zeuske 2002, 2004).

Even after the promulgation of gradual emancipation laws, significant numbers of slaves continued to seek their freedom, or that of their loved ones, through traditional legal institutions such as manumission and self-purchase. Manumission continues to be one of the most studied topics concerning slavery in the Americas. But how scholars

approach the study of manumission practices and conflicts has changed considerably. Studies published in the 1970s and 1980s sought primarily to establish the frequency of manumission and the freedmen's demographic profile. These scholars argued that manumission was best understood as a functional element of the slave regime; that slaves were forced to pay for their freedom in most cases; and that manumission rates (which were always low), correlated with economic conditions and increased when a steady supply of slaves made their replacement possible and profitable (Russell-Wood 1972, Bowser 1975, Johnson 1979, Schwartz 1974).

Current scholarship has pushed into new areas, such as the gendered nature of manumission practices, slaves' claims of illegal or wrongful enslavement, their legal suits claiming rights to purchase freedom, and their access to social and family networks that seconded their efforts (Brana-Shute & Sparks 2009; Diaz 2000; Johnson 2007; Betancur and Aparicio 2006; Grinberg 2004; Higgins 1999; Mattos 1998; Proctor 2995; Owensby 2005; de la Fuente 2007). Some of these studies have also begun to reconstruct the activities of legal mediators, such as scribes and "defensores" or "procuradores" who occasionally assisted their slaves in their legal claims. Much work remains to be done on these representatives and on their political and religious motivations. Additional research is also needed on the diffusion of knowledge concerning legal practices in slave communities, or on the social costs slave owners incurred as the object of freedom suits. But what unites studies of freedom suits across the Americas is an emphasis on claimsmaking by slaves, as demonstrated by the titles of two new studies, Martha S. Jones' "By Leave of the Court: African American Claims-Making in the Age of Dred Scott," and

Alejandro de La Fuente's "Slave Law and Claims-Making in Cuba," both of which examine slaves' practices of claiming freedom (Jones 2007, de la Fuente 2004).

Manumission is the subject of the most explicitly comparative new legal history of slavery in the Americas, perhaps because it is one of the areas where it appears easiest to see law making a difference in the lives of enslaved people. As the futile question of the comparative harshness of slavery has receded, manumission has remained an institution that lends itself to productive comparisons. In addition, because it influences the size of the population of free people of color, manumission has also proven to be a focal point for contrasts between the United States and Latin American countries with much larger populations of free people of color.

Some of the recent work on freedom suits is directly comparative, but rather than taking the nation-state as its unit of comparison, it focuses on urban regions within the nation-state that have features in common. The chief example of this type of work is Keila Grinberg's study of freedom suits in Baltimore and Rio de Janeiro. Between the 1790s and the 1820s, slaves sued for freedom in both cities and Grinberg argues that the cases exhibit quite similar juridical discussions (Grinberg 2001). According to Grinberg, the explosion of freedom suits in the era of revolutions can be explained in part by what was happening in cities, burgeoning economic centers that afforded slaves possibilities to work outside the realm of their masters and to exercise freedoms that allowed them to mount successful challenges to their legal status as slaves. Despite the differences in legal traditions, in both Baltimore and Rio de Janeiro, the courts treated suits for freedom in terms of property, and viewed the cases as commercial transactions involving the purchase of oneself. In both jurisdictions, slaves used old strategies, such

as placing themselves on the King's mercy (in Rio) or claiming Indian maternity (in Baltimore) but gave them new meaning. Grinberg's work, along with many new U.S.-focused studies showing the extent of freedom suits in Southern states, suggest that what may need to be explained is why the U.S. diverged from other parts of the Americas after the 1820s, rather than a timeless distinction between one jurisdiction with manumission and another without (Schafer 2003, Whitman 1997).

Atlantic Crossings: Micro-Histories in a Transnational Context

Thus, in the U.S. as well as in Latin America, histories of law "from the bottom up" have proliferated. Yet this new work has not yet translated, for the most part, into new macro-historical comparisons of slavery and law across the Americas. Increasingly, legal historians are writing from comparative and transnational perspectives, but they have eschewed grand comparisons, in part because so many have chosen the form of micro-history.

Perhaps the leading proponent of micro-historical approaches to a trans-national subject is Rebecca Scott, whose recent work spans Louisiana, Cuba, and St. Domingue. She has traced the "Atlantic crossings" of one family in and out of various legal jurisdictions, chronicling their shifting legal status as they made claims on different legal systems – and those systems made different claims on them. Her essay, "'She...Refuses to Deliver Up Herself as the Slave of Your Petitioner': Emigrés, Enslavement, and the 1808 Louisiana Digest of the Civil Laws," looks at a set of cases involving Adelaide Métayer, who had once been a slave in Sainte Domingue, but became free during the Haitian Revolution. When she emigrated first to Cuba, and then to Louisiana, her status

was contested by the man who sought to enslave her. Scott uses the seven lawsuits regarding Métayer's status as an occasion to look at the "multiple layers of legality concerning slavery," including the 1808 Digest of the Civil Laws of Louisiana, territorial and state statutes, and the Spanish Siete Partidas (Scott 2009, Scott & Hébrard 2007). Martha S. Jones similarly traces the shifting legal status of one family's encounters with "the Atlantic world" in her recent excavation of the *Baptiste de Volunbrun* case, and Kristin Mann has used British records from Lagos as well as Brazilian court records to trace a family transported from Lagos to Bahía in their encounters with legal systems (Jones 2006, 2009, Mann 2009).

Edlie Wong's *Neither Fugitive nor Free: Atlantic Slavery, Freedom Suits, and the Legal Culture of Travel* takes a different kind of "Atlantic world" approach to freedom suits. She too traces individual's encounters with the legal systems of U.S. states and of Great Britain, but she also reads the records of freedom suits side by side with literary and cultural sources – ex-slave narratives, popular literature, newsprint, and legal pamphlets – in order to see how legal discourses about slavery and freedom circulated in popular culture (Wong 2009).

Other aspects of Rebecca Scott's research are more directly comparative, particularly when she explores the possibilities for citizenship after slavery in Louisiana and in Cuba, in *Degrees of Freedom: Louisiana and Cuba After Slavery* (Scott 2005). She notes that the legal and political formation in Cuba made possible claims to citizenship that could not be attained in the U.S. context. Her exploration of the "public rights" discourse of the free black community in New Orleans underlying their challenge to the segregation of railway cars in *Plessy v. Ferguson* suggests that free people of color

in Louisiana did have access to this Atlantic discourse of freedom and citizenship, but their claims were far less successful than those of the Cubans who had fought for independence (Scott 2008).

Hybridities and Legal Transplants

One approach to slavery across the Atlantic world has been to emphasize hybridities and legal transplants. No legal historian has had more influence on the notion of "legal transplants" than Alan Watson, the historian of Roman law, who insisted that most provisions of slave law in the Americas can be traced to Rome (Watson 1989). Furthermore, the harshness or mildness of a slave law regime correlates with its distance from Rome, in his view; France and Spain, with legal systems more influenced by Roman law, had milder legal provisions than Britain and Holland, whose legal systems were more removed from Rome. Watson rejected the "reflex model" of law and society by arguing that much legal development in the modern world can be attributed to the transplantation of legal provisions and structures from other systems and societies far removed in time and place. There can be no simple correlation between a society and its law, if most of its law is borrowed from elsewhere (Watson 1974 [1993]). Insofar as Watson provides a corrective to reductive theories that law is "all politics" etc., his perspective is valuable, but he represents an extreme pole in the debate, with an almost exclusively genealogical approach to legal development (Ewald 1995).

More recent work on transplantation, especially the very interesting volume of essays that emerged from a seminar held at the Institute for Advanced Studies in Jerusalem in 2008, instead focuses very closely on the local implementation and

transformation of codes transplanted from one jurisdiction to another. Chris Tomlins' contribution to that volume, "Transplants and Timing: Passages in the Creation of an Anglo-American Law of Slavery," considers the transplantation of regulations of daily life in slave societies from colonial assemblies in Jamaica and Barbados to Massachusetts and the Carolinas. Tomlins notes that "as colonial settlements turned into slave societies, local innovations increasingly supplemented Occidental transplants, compensating for their deficiencies and limitations. Local innovations in turn became transplants themselves, creating commonalities within regions of settlement, and also—more interestingly—among regions usually thought quite distinct" (Tomlins 2009, 393).

Other historians focus on the "mixed" jurisdiction of Louisiana as a case study for the influence of different legal traditions on the shape of slavery and freedom in one society. Whether emphasizing Spanish law "transplanted" into British America, or U.S. law grafted onto Spanish and French practice, historians have seen coartación, manumission, and the community of *gens de couleur* in Louisiana as an important case study for comparative and transnational approaches to the legal history of slavery and freedom. Louisiana is unique among American states in having been governed first by France, then by Spain, before becoming a U.S. territory and state in the nineteenth century. Unlike other slave states, it operated under a civil code, first the Digest of 1808, and then the Code of 1825. With regard to the regulation of slaves, these codes also incorporated a "Black Code," first adopted in 1806, which owed a great deal to both French and Spanish law. Comparisons of Louisiana with other U.S. slave states tend to emphasize the uniqueness of New Orleans' three-tier caste system, with a significant population of *gens de couleur libre* (free people of color), and the ameliorative influence

of Spanish law. In Louisiana, social historians have shown the harshness of plantation slavery, and legal historians have followed suit. Judith Schafer, the leading legal historian of slavery in Louisiana, suggests that the institution of slavery in Louisiana differed little from the rest of the Deep South, and that by 1806, when the territorial legislature adopted Louisiana's new slave code, only the harshest rules of French law survived (Schafer 1994).

Significant differences remained between Louisiana and other states in the ability of slaves to gain their freedom through legal means. By contrast, the everyday law of slavery differed little across the U.S. South (Ingersoll 1995). While it is a commonplace to describe Louisiana as a three-caste society, scholars are debating whether the *gens de couleur* should really be considered a separate caste (Scott & Hebrard 2008, Clark 2008). Colonial Louisiana was certainly unlike Cuba and Brazil, which had much larger populations of free people of color, comparable to their slave populations. And Louisiana was hardly unique in North America in recognizing individuals and communities of free people of color with an intermediate status between black and white. Yet it appears that the practice of *coartación*, which remained sanctioned in law well into the U.S. period, contributed to building a substantial community of *gens de couleur* who became important players in the fight for civil rights after the Civil War and beyond (Baade 1983, Spear 2009, Kotlikoff & Rupert 1980, Schafer 2003, Gross 2009, Scott 2003).

Racial identity and classification

Scholars of slavery in the Americas have also turned their attention to questions of race and racial ideology with increasing sophistication. The early comparative work of Tannenbaum and Degler had assumed a timeless category of the "Negro," with an unproblematic biological identity. The "mulatto," the child of a white person and a Negro, was recognized as a third category in Latin America, while he was not in the United States. This provided a stark contrast between the two regions, and helped explain the continuing legacy of Jim Crow that followed on slavery in the United States, and the seeming "racial democracy" of Cuba and Brazil, where racial mixing was both encouraged and recognized in intermediate racial categories.

More recent studies of race across the Americas depart from this earlier work in quite dramatic ways. Part of the departure is theoretical: approaching race as a social and legal construction, the new research emphasizes the contingent nature of racial classifications, the role of law in creating racial meanings, and the collaboration as well as conflict among many actors in producing racial knowledge – neighbors, jurors, lawyers, church officials, and judges. The new work on race, like other legal studies of slavery, also draws on new sources, especially trial records of lawsuits litigating racial identity or *limpieza de sangre*, as well as local church records, ships' manifests, and other documents that provide insights into informal practices of racial identification that operated as law or intersected with law (Gross 2008, Garrigus 2006, Hébrard 2003, Turits 2007). These studies shift attention away from imperial discourses about race and towards the ways individuals negotiated their racial and legal identities, even "in a 'public sphere' from which they were officially excluded" (Garrigus 2006, 12).

The study of racial classification and law has also produced some of the most broadly comparative and transnational works on any subject related to the legal history of slavery in recent years, in part spurred by contemporary concerns about race-conscious policies across the Americas. These studies have their origins not in social history but in critical race theory and race studies, especially of the U.S. and Brazil. Tanya Hernandez and Robert Cottrol in particular have written numerous articles, and a forthcoming book, comparing U.S. and Brazilian legal histories of race and racial discrimination, building on the sociological and historical work of Edward Telles, Denise Ferreira Da Silva, George Reid Andrews, Thomas Skidmore, Seth Racusen, and many others (Cottrol & Hernandez, The Long, Lingering Shadow: Law, Liberalism, and Cultures of Racial Hierarchy and Identity in the Americas, unpublished manuscript; Cottrol 2001, Hernandez 2002, Racusen 2004, Greene 2009, Telles 2004, Da Silva 1998, Andrews 1991, Skidmore 2003). New research on racial formations in Brazil is explicitly preoccupied with the U.S. comparison, in part because of present-day concerns about affirmative action as a U.S. import. U.S. legal scholars have also turned to the Brazilian and Latin American comparison as part of a critical race theory interrogation of colorblind ideology as it operates in U.S. law and constitutionalism.

Conclusion

Ten years ago, Walter Johnson, in a review of legal studies of slavery, warned that the new "bottom up" histories of slaves' encounters with the law, by emphasizing "inconsistency" and "contradiction" rather than over-arching theoretical frameworks, risked "complete confusion" (Johnson 1997) Ironically, this tendency to particularize

and find complexity may be even greater in the new comparative and transnational work on slavery, because it remains so relentlessly micro-historical. This careful, and often brilliant work, has done much to take us beyond the paradigms created by Frank Tannenbaum's generation of historians. But it has yet to replace it with a new comparative paradigm.

Rather than trying to characterize slave legal "systems" as abstract sources of oppression or rights, during the last few decades, scholars have studied how slaves and free people of color helped to shape those systems in practice. Constructing new comparative paradigms is difficult due not only to the proliferation of locally-grounded studies, but also to the fact that all these studies reach a similar conclusion: slaves everywhere sought to exploit or to create openings in the normative system in order to increase their autonomy and improve their lives. Slavery was an extreme form of oppression, whether slaves lived in Recife, Alabama, Saint Domingue, or Louisiana. Slaves in all times and places attempted to use legal means and the courts whenever possible to ameliorate their lives and perhaps even to escape slavery altogether.

But normative systems were not identical across the Americas, so the comparisons posed by previous generations of scholars continue to elicit interest, as the comparative work on race in Brazil and the United States illustrates. To complicate things further, such systems were not unalterable across time either, so comparisons need to be sensitive to historical variations. As the work on slave marriages and families suggests, some fundamental doctrinal differences did exist between the legal regimes of the British and Iberian colonies and they had concrete and important consequences for real slaves and for equally real masters. Furthermore, Spanish imperial concerns about unequal

marriages were not the same in the sixteenth and eighteenth centuries. Those changes also informed people's choices and legal strategies.

It is only now, after two decades of local studies concerning slaves and the law in the Americas, that a new systematic comparison can be attempted. It is unlikely that these comparisons will recreate the Manichean division between "Anglo" and "Latin" America that Tannenbaum and some of his followers defended. Atlantic historians have effectively cautioned against treating the colonial territories of Britain, France, Spain or Portugal as sealed and independent entities. But it is equally unlikely that comparisons will stop at the universal urge of all slaves to use and create legal or institutional means to assert their humanity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Aysha Pamucku for able research assistance. We have benefited from helpful discussions with Sidney Chalhoub, Robert Cottrol, Jean Hébrard, Martha Jones, Silvia Lara, Dominique Rogers, Rebecca Scott, and Richard Turits on questions of comparative law and slavery.

LITERATURE CITED

Aguirre C. 1993. Agentes de su propia libertad: los esclavos de Lima y la desintegración de la esclavitud 1821-1854. Lima: Fondo Editorial

- Andrews GR. 1980. The Afro-Argentines of Buenos Aires, 1800-1900. Madison: Univ. Wis. Press
- Andrews, GR. 1991. *Blacks and Whites in Sao Paulo, Brazil, 1888-1988*. Madison: Univ. Wis. Press
- Andrews GR. 2004. Afro-Latin America, 1800-2000. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Ayers EL. 1984. Vengeance and Justice: Crime and Punishment in the Nineteenth-Century American South. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Baade H. 1983. The Law of Slavery in Spanish Louisiana. In *Louisiana's Legal Heritage*, ed. EF Haas. New Orleans: La. State Museum
- Barcia, MDC. 2003. <u>La otra familia: parientes, redes y descendientes de los esclavos en</u>
 Cuba. Havana: Casa de las Américas.
- Bennett HL. 2003. Africans in Colonial Mexico: Absolutism, Christianity, and Afro-Creole Consciousness, 1570-1640. Bloomington: Ind. Univ. Press
- Bergad LW. 2007. The Comparative History of Slavery in Brazil, Cuba, and the United States. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Betancur AA, Aparicio F. 2006. *Amos y esclavos en el Río de la Plata*. Montevideo: Planeta
- Blanchard P. 2008. *Under the Flags of Freedom: Slave Soldiers and the Wars of Independence in Spanish South America*. Pittsburgh: Univ. Pittsburgh Press
- Bowser FP. 1974. *The African Slave in Colonial Peru, 1524-1650.* Stanford: Stanford Univ. Press
- Bowser FP. 1975. The Free Person of Color in Mexico City and Lima: Manumission and Opportunity, 1580-1650. In *Race and Slavery in the Western Hemisphere*:

- Quantitative Studies, eds. SL Engerman, ED Genovese. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press
- Brana-Shute R. & Sparks RJ. eds. 2009. *Paths to Freedom: Manumission in the Atlantic World*. Columbia: Univ. S. Car. Press
- Brown K. 1996. *Good Wives, Nasty Wenches, and Anxious Patriarchs: Gender, Race, and Power in Colonial Virginia*. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car. Press
- Bryant SK. 2004. Enslaved Rebels, Fugitives, and Litigants: The Resistance Continuum in Colonial Quito. *Colonial Latin American Review* 13:1
- Burnham M. 1987. An impossible marriage: slave law and family law. *Univ. Mn. J. Law and Inequality*. 5
- Clark E. Atlantic Alliances: Marriage among People of African Descent in New Orleans, 1759-1830. Presented at "Conference on Louisiana and the Atlantic World in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries," March 28-29, 2008, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Cope D. 1994. The Limits of Racial Domination: Plebeian Society in Colonial Mexico

 City, 1660-1720. Madison: Univ. Wis. Press
- Cottrol RJ. 2001. The Long, Lingering Shadow: Law, Liberalism, and Cultures of Racial Hierarchy and Identity in the Americas. *Tulane Law Rev*. 76
- Cover R. 1975. *Justice Accused: Antislavery and the Judicial Process*. New Haven: Yale Univ. Press
- Cowling C. 2005. Negotiating Freedom: Women of Colour and the Transition to Free Labour in Cuba, 1870-1886. *Slavery and Abolition*. 26(3)

- Da Silva DF. 1998. Facts of Blackness: Brazil is Not (Quite) the United States...and Racial Politics in Brazil? *Social Identities*. 4(2)
- Davis DB. 1966. The Problem of Slavery in Western Culture. Ithaca: Cornell Univ. Press
- de Cruz MCV. 2006. Puzzling out slave origins in Rio de Janeiro Port Unionism: The 1906 strike and the Sociedade Resistência do Trabalhadores em Trapiche e Café.

 Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev. 86(2)
- de la Fuente A. 2004. Slave law and claims-making in Cuba: the Tannenbaum Debate revisited. *Law and Hist. Rev.* 22(2)
- de la Fuente A. 2007. Slaves and the creation of legal rights in Cuba: coartación and papel. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 87(4) DeLombard J. 2007. *Slavery on Trial: Law, Abolitionism, and Print Culture*. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car. Press
- Díaz ME. 2000. The Virgin, the King, and the Royal Slaves of El Cobre: Negotiating Freedom in Colonial Cuba, 1670-1780. Stanford: Stanford Univ. Press
- Eder DG. 1976. Time under the Southern cross: The Tannenbaum Thesis reappraised. *Agri. Hist.* 50(4)
- Edwards L. 2003. Commentary on Ariela Gross, Reflections on Law, Culture, and Slavery. In *Slavery and the American South*, ed. WD Jordan. Jackson: Univ. Press Miss.
- Edwards L. 2007. Status without rights: African American and the tangled history of law and governance in the nineteenth-century U.S. South. *Am. Hist. Rev.* 112(2)
- Edwards L. 2007. The People and Their Peace: Legal Culture and the Transformation of Inequality in the Post-Revolutionary South. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car. Press

- Edwards LF. 1997. Gendered Strife and Confusion: The Political Culture of Reconstruction. Champaign: Univ. Ill. Press
- Edwards LF. 1999. Law, domestic violence, and the limits of patriarchal authority in the antebellum south. *J. Soc. Hist.* 65
- Elkins S. 1959. *Slavery: A Problem in American Institutional and Intellectual Life*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Evans P, Rueschemeyer D, and Skocpol T, eds. 1985. *Bringing the State Back In*. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Ewald W. 1995. Comparative jurisprudence (II): the logic of legal transplants. *Am. J. Comparative Law.* 43(4)
- Fehrenbacher D. 1981. Slavery, Law and Politics: The Dred Scott Case in Historical Perspective. New York: Oxford Univ. Press
- Ferrer A. 1999. *Insurgent Cuba: Race, Nation, and Revolution 1868-1898*. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car Press
- Fiehrer TM. 1995. Africans in Colonial Louisiana: The Development of Afro-Creole Culture in the Eighteenth Century. New Orleans: La. State Univ. Press
- Fraginals MM. 1978. El Ingenio: Complejo Económico Social Cubano Del Azúcar.

 Havana: Editorial de Ciencias Sociales
- Franke K. 1999. Becoming a citizen: Reconstruction era regulation of African American marriages. *Yale J. of Law & Hum.* 11
- Franklin S. 2006. Suitable to her Sex: Race, Slavery and Patriarchy in Nineteenth-century Colonial Cuba. PhD dissertation. Fl. State Univ.

- Garrigus JD. 2006. *Before Haiti: Race and Citizenship in French Saint-Domingue*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan
- Genovese E. 1976. *Roll, Jordan Roll: The World the Slaves Made*. New York: Random House
- Genovese E. 1981. Slavery and the legal history of the South and the nation. *Tex. Law Rev.* 59
- González LA. 2001. Work, property and the negotiation of rights in the Brazilian cane fields: Campos, Rio de Janeiro, 1930-1950. In *Crime and Punishment in Latin America: Law and Society Since Late Colonial Times*, eds. R Salvatore, C Aguirre, G Joseph. Durham: Duke Univ. Press
- Graubart K. 2009. The Creolization of the New World: local forms of identification in urban Colonial Peru, 1560–1640. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 89(3)
- Green CA. 2007. Civil inconvenience: the vexed question of slave marriage in the British West Indies. *Law and Hist. Rev.* 25(1)
- Greene DW. 2009. Determining the (in)determinable: race in Brazil and the United States, *Mich. J. Race and Law.* 14(2)
- Grinberg K. 2004. La manumisión, el género y la ley en el Brasil del siglo XIX: el proceso legal de liberata por su libertad. *Debate y Perspectivas*. 4
- Grinberg K. 2001. Freedom Suits and Civil Law in Brazil and the United States. *Slavery & Abolition*, 22:3
- Gross AJ. 2000. Double Character: Slavery and Mastery in the Antebellum Southern

 Courtroom. New Jersey: Princeton Univ. Press

- Gross AJ. 2001. Beyond black and white: cultural approaches to race and slavery.

 Columbia Law Rev. 101(3)
- Gross AJ. 2008. What Blood Won't Tell: A History of Race on Trial in America.

 Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard Univ. Press
- Gross AJ. 2009. *Legal Transplants:* Slavery and the Civil Law in Louisiana. USC Law Leg. Stud. Paper No. 09-16. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1403422
- Hall GM. 1971. Social Control in Slave Plantation Societies: A Comparison of St.

 Domingue and Cuba. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Univ. Press
- Harris M. 1964. Patterns of Race in the Americas. New York: Walker and Co.
- Hébrard J. 2003. Esclavage et denomination: imposition et appropriation d'un nom chez les esclaves de la Bahia au XIXe siècle. *Cahiers du Brésil Contemporain*, 53/54
- Hernandez TK. 2002. Multiracial matrix: The role of race ideology in the enforcement of antidiscrimination laws, a United States-Latin American comparison. *Cornell L. Rev.* 87
- Higgins KJ. 1999. 'Licentious liberty' in a Brazilian Gold-Mining Region: Slavery,

 Gender, and Social Control in Eighteenth-Century Sabará, Minas Gerais. University

 Park: Penn. State Univ. Press
- Hünefeldt C. 1994. Paying the Price of Freedom: Family and Labor among Lima's Slaves, 1800-1854. Berkeley: Univ. Calif. Press
- Ingersoll T. 1999. Mammon and Manon in Early New Orleans: The First Slave Society in the Deep South, 1718-1819. Knoxville: Univ. Tenn. Press
- Ingersoll TN. 1995. Slave codes and judicial practice in New Orleans, 1718-1807. Law &

Hist. Rev. 13(1)
Personal Repository

- Johnson L. 2007. 'A lack of legitimate obedience and respect': slaves and their masters in the courts of Late Colonial Buenos Aires. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 87(4)
- Johnson LL. 1979. Manumission in Colonial Buenos Aires, 1776-1810. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 59(2)
- Johnson W. 1997. Inconsistency, contradiction, and complete confusion: the everyday life of the law of slavery. *Law & Soc. Inquiry*. 405(22)
- Johnson W. 1999. Soul by Soul: Life inside the Antebellum Slave Market. Cambridge: Harvard Univ. Press
- Jones MS. 2006. Baptiste de Volunbrun: Making The Atlantic World One Case at a Time. Paper delivered at the Symposium on Slavery and Freedom in the Atlantic World: Statutes, Science and the Seas. University of Michigan and University of Windsor, March 2006.
- Jones MS. 2007. By leave of the court. In *Contested Democracy: Freedom, Race, and Power in American History*, eds. M Sinha, P Von Eschen. New York: Columbia Univ. Press
- Jones MS. 2009. "I was born in...Croix-des-Bouquets": Slavery, law, and "French negroes." In New York's Era of Gradual Emancipation. Paper delivered at The Symposium on Ambiguous Conditions: Law, Slavery, and Freedom, at the University of Campinas, Brazil, November 7, 2009.
- Jordan W. 1968. White Over Black: American Attitudes Toward the Negro, 1550-1812.

 Chapel Hill: Univ of N. Car. Press
- Joseph G, Nugent D, eds. 1994. Everyday Forms of State Formation: Revolution and the Negotiation of Rule in Modern Mexico. Durham: Duke Univ. Press.

- Journal of Spanish Cultural Studies. 2009. Dossier on racial labels and crossings in colonial Latin America. 10(1)
- Kaye AE. 2007. *Joining Places: Slave Neighborhoods in the Old South*. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car. Press
- Klein HS. 1967. *Slavery in the Americas: A Comparative Study of Virginia and Cuba*. Chicago: Univ. Chicago Press
- Kolchin P. 1993. American Slavery: 1619-1877. New York: Hill & Wang
- Kotlikoff LJ, Rupert AJ. 1980. The manumission of slaves in New Orleans, 1827-1846, Southern Studies. 19
- Lasso M. 2007. Myths of Harmony: Race and Republicanism during the Age of Revolution, Colombia 1795-1831. Pittsburgh: Univ. Pittsburgh Press
- Lewis L. 2003. *Hall of Mirrors: Power, Witchcraft and Caste in Colonial Mexico*.

 Durham: Duke Univ. Press
- Lokken P. 2001. Marriage as slave emancipation in 17th century Guatemala. *The Americas*. 58(2)
- Mann, Kristin. 2009. Tales of Slavery and Beyond in West Africa and Bahía from British Colonial Court Records: Trans-Atlantic Connections. Paper delivered at The Symposium on Ambiguous Conditions: Law, Slavery, and Freedom, at the University of Campinas, Brazil, November 7, 2009.
- Martínez ME. 2008. Genealogical Fictions: Limpieza de Sangre, Religion, and Gender in Colonial Mexico. Stanford: Stanford Univ. Press



- Martinez-Alier V. 1974. Marriage, Class and Colour in Nineteenth Century Cuba: A Study of Racial Attitudes and Sexual Values in a Slave Society. Ann Arbor: Univ. Mich. Press
- Mattos H. 1998. Das Cores do Silêncio: os Significados da Liberdade no Sudeste Escravista – Brasil Século XIX. Rio de Janeiro: Arquivo Nacional
- Meiklejohn NA. 1974. The Implementation of Slave Legislation in Eighteenth-Century

 New Granada," in Slavery and Race Relations in Latin America, ed. RB Toplin.

 Westport: Greenwood Press
- Mintz S. 1984. Caribbean Transformations. Piscataway: Aldine Transaction
- Mirow MC. 2004. Latin American Law: A History of Private Law and Institutions in Spanish America. Austin: Univ. Tex. Press
- Morrison K. 2007. Creating an alternative kinship: slavery, freedom, and nineteenth-century Afro-Cuban hijos naturales. *J. Social Hist.* 41(1)
- Morris, TD. 1988. "Villeinage . . . as It Existed in England, Reflects but Little Light on Our Subject": The Problem of the Sources of Southern Slave Law." *Amer. J. Legal Hist.* 32(1988): 95-137.
- Morris, TD. 1996. *Southern Slavery and the Law, 1619-1860*. Chapel Hill: Univ. of North Carolina Press.
- Oakes J. 1990. Slavery and Freedom: An Interpretation of the Old South. New York: W.W. Norton & Co.
- Ortiz, F. Real Cédula of 31 May 1789. In *Los negros esclavos*. Havana: Editorial de Ciencias Sociales

- Owensby BP. 2005. How Juan and Leonor won their freedom: litigation and liberty in seventeenth-century Mexico. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 85(1)
- Penningroth DC. 2002. The Claims of Kinfolk: African American Property and Community in the Nineteenth-Century South. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car. Press
- Premo B. 2005. Children of the Father King: Youth, Authority, and Legal Minority in Colonial Lima. Chapel Hill: Univ. N. Car. Press
- Proctor III FT. 2005. Gender and the Manumission of Slaves in New Spain. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 86(2)
- Racusen S. 2004. Making the 'impossible' determination. Conn. Law Rev. 36
- Rankin DC. 1979. The Tannenbaum Thesis reconsidered: slavery and race relations in Antebellum Louisiana. *Southern Studies*. 18
- Rios AL, Mattos H. 2005. *Memórias do Cativeiro: Família, Trabalho e Cidadania no Pós-Abolição*. Rio de Janeiro: Civilização Brasileira
- Russell-Wood AJR. 1972. Colonial Brazil. In *Neither Slave nor Free: The Freedmen of African Descent in the Slave Societies of the New World*, eds. DW Cohen, JP Greene. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Univ. Press
- Russell-Wood AJR. 1982. *The Black Man in Slavery and Freedom in Colonial Brazil*.

 New York: Palgrave Macmillan
- Salvatore R, Aguirre C, eds. 1996. The Birth of the Penitentiary in Latin America: Essays on Criminology, Prison Reform, and Social Control, 1830-1940. Austin: Univ. Tex.

 Press
- Salvatore R, Aguirre C, Joseph G, eds. 2001. Crime and Punishment in Latin America:

 Law and Society Since Late Colonial Times. Durham: Duke Univ. Press

- Sanders J. 2004. Contentious Republicans: Popular Politics, Race and Class in Nineteenth-Century Colombia. Durham: Duke Univ. Press
- Schafer J. 2003. Becoming Free, Remaining Free: Manumission and Enslavement in New Orleans, 1846-1862. New Orleans: La. State Univ. Press
- Schafer JK. 1994. Slavery, the Civil Law, and the Supreme Court of Louisiana. New Orleans: La. State Univ. Press
- Schwartz S. 1974. The manumission of slaves in Colonial Brazil: Bahia, 1684-1745. *Hispanic Am. Hist. Rev.* 54(4)
- Schwartz SB. 1985. Sugar Plantations in the Formation of Brazilian Society: Bahia, 1550-1835. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Scott RJ, Hébrard JM. 2007. Les papiers de la liberté. Une mere africaine et ses enfants à l'époque de la revolution haïtienne. *Genèses*. 66
- Scott RJ, Hébrard JM. 2008. Two Households and a Marriage: Color and Class in New Orleans, 1809-1840. Presented at "Conference on Louisiana and the Atlantic World in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries," March 28-29, 2008, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana.
- Scott RJ, Zeuske M. 2002. Property in writing, property on the ground: pigs, horses, land, and citizenship in the aftermath of slavery, Cuba, 1880-1909. *Comparative Stud. in Soc. and Hist.* 44
- Scott RJ, Zeuske M. 2004. 'Le droit d'avoir des droits': Les revendications des exesclaves à Cuba, 1872-1907. *Annales*. 59
- Scott RJ. 1985. Slave Emancipation in Cuba: the transition to free labor, 1860-1899.

Pittsburgh: Univ. Pittsburgh Press

- Scott RJ. 2001. Reclaiming Gregoria's mule: the meanings of freedom in the Arimao and Caunao Valleys, Cienfuegos, Cuba, 1880-1899. *Past and Present*. 170
- Scott RJ. 2003. Se battre pour ses droits: Ecritures, litiges et discrimination raciale en Louisiane (1888–1899). *Cahiers du Brésil Contemporain*. 53/54
- Scott RJ. 2005. Degrees of Freedom: Louisiana and Cuba after Slavery. Cambridge: Harvard Univ. Press.
- Scott RJ. 2008. Public rights, social equality, and the conceptual roots of the Plessy challenge. *Mich. Law Rev.* 106(5)
- Scott RJ. 2009. Émigrés, enslavement, and the 1808 Louisiana Digest of the Civil Laws.

 Tulane European and Civil Law Forum. 24
- Seed P. 1988. To Love, Honor and Obey in Colonial Mexico: Conflicts Over Marriage
 Choice, 1574-1821. Stanford: Stanford Univ. Press
- Sio AA. 1965. Interpretations of slavery: the slave status in the Americas. *Comparative Studies in Society and Hist.* 7(3)
- Skidmore T. 2003. Racial mixture and affirmative action: the cases of Brazil and the United States. *Am. Hist. Rev.* 108(5)
- Skocpol T. 1979. States and Social Revolutions: A Comparative Analysis of France, Russia and China. New York: Cambridge Univ. Press
- Spear J. 2009. *Race, Sex, and Social Order in Early New Orleans*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Univ. Press
- Stampp K. 1965. The Peculiar Institution: Slavery in the Ante-bellum South. New York:

 Vintage Press

- Suggs JC. 2000. Whispered Consolations: Law and Narrative in African American Life.

 Ann Arbor: Univ. Mich. Press
- Tannenbaum F. 1947. Slave and Citizen. New York: Alfred A. Knopf
- Telles E. 2004. Race in Another America: The Significance of Skin Color in Brazil.

 Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press
- Tomlins C. 2009. Transplants and timing. *Theoretical Inquiries in Law.* 10(2)
- Townsend C. 1998. 'Half my body free, the other half enslaved': the politics of the slaves of Guayaquil at the end of the Colonial Era." *Colonial Latin Am. Rev.* 7(1)
- Turits RL. 2007. Par-delà les plantations: question raciale et identités collectives à Santo Domingo, *Genèses*. 66
- Tushnet M. 1981. *The American Law of Slavery, 1810-1860*. Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press
- Twinam A. 1999. Public Lives, Private Secrets: Honor, Sexuality and Illegitimacy in Colonial Spanish America. Stanford: Stanford Univ. Press
- Twinam A. 2005. Racial passing: informal and official 'whiteness' in Colonial Spanish America. In *New World Orders: Violence, Sanction, and Authority in the Colonial Americas*, eds. Smolenski J, Humphrey TJ. Philadelphia: Univ. Penn. Press
- Watson A. 1989. Slave Law in the Americas. Athens, Ga.: Univ. Ga. Press
- Watson A. 1993. Legal Transplants: An Approach to Comparative Law. Athens, Ga.: Univ. Ga. Press. 2nd ed.
- Whitman TS. 1997. The Price of Freedom: Slavery and Manumission in Baltimore and Early National Maryland. Lexington, Ky.: Univ. Kentucky Press
- Williams E. 1966. British Historians and the West Indies. Port of Spain: PNM Publishing

Wong EL. 2009. Neither Fugitive nor Free: Atlantic Slavery, Freedom Suits, and the Legal Culture of Travel. New York: NYU Press

Wyatt-Brown B. 1982. Southern Honor: Ethics and Behavior in the Old South. New York: Oxford Univ. Press

